

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450' Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,693	01/22/2004	Romain Pillard	945-011666-US (PAR) 5263	
2512	7590 11/01/2007			
PERMAN & G		EXAMINER		
425 POST ROA	AD		OSELE, MARK A	
FAIRFIELD, CT 06824			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
		•	·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/762,693	PILLARD, ROMAIN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Mark A. Osele	1791		

	Mark A. Osele	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, aff lice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Short (Sec. 27 CER 1.1)		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **	maliant Amandmant	(DTOL 204)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>3 and 6-8</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4,5,10 and 11</u> .	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	MAN OF ON	1
		MARK A. OS PRIMARY EXA	MINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/762,693

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitations that the flaps are folded and the supple part deforms to allow an improperly closed flap to pass by the separator are both new issues. In addition, the second limitation appears to be new matter in that a text search of the disclosure did not find the terms improper or improperly. This limitation also appears to be indefinite as "improperly closed" is not defined.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Whitener and Anderson are nonanalogous art and indicates that the problem with which the applicant was concerned is separating envelope flaps from envelope bodies. This is actually the inventor's field of endeavor, not the problem with which applicant was concerned. Applicant was concerned with the problem of making a supple part.